June 7, 2012

Honorable Councilmembers
Los Angeles City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Re: File No. 11-0262: Community Care Facility, Licensed; Residential Care Facility for the Elderly, Licensed; and Alcoholism or Drug Abuse Treatment Facility, Licensed.

Dear Councilmembers:

We, the undersigned, are affordable housing providers, lawyers, and advocates for homeless people, veterans, and individuals with disabilities. We write with strong objections to the proposed Community Care Facilities Ordinance, in particular the parolee/probationer provisions and the provisions requiring tenants in low-density zones to share no more than one written or verbal lease.

Our organizations are deeply concerned that the proposed ordinance will increase homelessness among families, youth, veterans, people with disabilities, and seniors. The proposed single lease requirement effectively prohibits siting shared permanent supportive housing in low-density zones. In order to comply with the ordinance, developers would be stripped of important sources of funding which require residents in supportive housing to each have his or her own lease. By limiting shared housing arrangements, the proposed ordinance will also devastate the 43,000 households in Los Angeles who share single family homes in order to make housing more affordable. Families who share housing will either face homelessness, or will be forced to share a lease, leaving them vulnerable to eviction should a co-tenant violate the lease.

Moreover, the parolee/probationer provision would thwart efforts to build permanent supportive housing for the reentry population in any zone in the City by forcing developers to obtain a conditional use permit (and outright prohibiting such homes in low-density zones). Data makes clear that probationers and parolees are more likely to recidivate when homeless than when housed, making probationers/parolees living on the streets a far greater threat to public safety than probationers/parolees who are housed.

The ordinance also fails to accomplish its intended purpose. The provision requiring tenants in R1 or R2 zones to share a single lease purports to respond to neighborhood concerns about nuisance homes. We share these concerns – nuisance homes may threaten the health and safety of neighborhoods and should be addressed. However, the single lease requirement has no effective way to address this concern since homes disrupting low-density neighborhoods can simply comply with the law’s letter and place all residents on a single written lease. In fact, nothing in the ordinance prevents an unlimited number of residents residing in a single-family dwelling, as long as all of the residents had a single written or oral lease. Such a home could be noisy, overcrowded, unsafe, and a nuisance to others, but would still not be in violation of the proposed ordinance. Meanwhile, a safe and well-managed home with multiple leases housing people that would otherwise be homeless would not be permitted.

1 It is well-established that permanent supportive housing is an effective and cost-efficient means of ending chronic homelessness. See, e.g. National Alliance to End Homelessness, “Chronic Homelessness: Policy Solutions,” (Policy Brief, March 2010).
Last, as detailed in letters from multiple law firms, by limiting housing options for people with disabilities, the proposed ordinance violates federal and state anti-discrimination laws, including the federal Fair Housing Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the California fair housing laws, and the California constitutional right to privacy. Moreover, passing this ordinance could place millions of HUD dollars in jeopardy because the City would be in violation of its duty to affirmatively further fair housing.

Should the ordinance pass, the City should expect to defend lawsuits brought by individuals with disabilities seeking to protect their civil rights.

Countless organizations have commented on the ill-conceived nature of this ordinance. Voting for this ordinance in its current form would be an abdication of your duty to the City of Los Angeles and its residents. We urge you to vote NO and to find real solutions to the problem of nuisance homes in our City.

Yours,

A Community of Friends
A New Way of Life Reentry Project
ACLU of Southern California
Affordable Living for the Aging
African American Alcohol and Other Drug Council of LA County
Amity Foundation
Bet Tzedek Legal Services
Business Leaders Task Force
CLARE Foundation
Clergy and Laity Untied for Economic Justice (CLUE-LA)
Clifford Beers Housing, Inc.
Coalition for Economic Survival
Coalition for Responsible Community Development
Community Coalition
Corporation for Supportive Housing
Disability Rights California
Disability Rights Legal Center
Downtown Women’s Center

2 See, e.g. Letter from Disability Rights Legal Center to the members of City Council Members (March 16, 2012); Letter from Disability Rights California to the members of the Planning and Land Use Management Committee (Mar. 28, 2011); Letter from Western Center on Law and Poverty to Council President Eric Garcetti and Other Councilmembers (May 25, 2011); and Letter and Memorandum from Munger, Tolles & Olsen to Councilmember Eduardo Reyes (Jan. 12, 2012). The federal government apparently agrees with these concerns. In October 2011, the U.S. Department of Justice submitted an amicus brief to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals, stating that a similar Newport Beach ordinance violates fair housing and disability rights laws.
East LA Community Corporation
Enterprise Community Partners
Gettlove
Healthy Homes Collaborative
Historical Monument One-Fifty-Seven
Hollywood Property Owners Alliance
Home For Good
Homes for Life Foundation
Housing Works
Inner City Law Center
Inquilinos Unidos
Jovenes, Inc.
L.A. Family Housing
LA Voice
Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles
Little Tokyo Service Center
Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce
Los Angeles Community Action Network
Los Angeles Metropolitan Churches
Mental Health America of Los Angeles
New Directions
Our Faith Matters
PATH
PATH Gramercy
PATH Partners
PATH Ventures
People Organized for Westside Renewal (POWER)
Public Counsel Law Center
Salvation Army Haven
SHARE Housing
Shelter Partnership
Skid Row Housing Trust
Southern California Association of Non-Profit Housing
St. Joseph’s Center
Supportive Housing Alliance
United Homeless Healthcare Partners
United Way of Greater Los Angeles
Venice Community Housing Corporation
Western Center on Law and Poverty
Women, Organizing Resources, Knowledge and Services (WORKS)